	Tear	n Mem	ber Per	rformai	nce Revie	ew	
Team Member Review	wed:	ed: Reviewer:					
Team:	_						
Participation Self-Actualization 	n. Did th	e team m	ember see	ek out tas	ks and respo	onsibilities?	
unresponsive; required assignments and constant supervision	1	2	3	4	5	self-starter; independently self-motivated	
Work Capacity. Cunreliable; others had to	Could the	e team me	mber be	relied upo	on to do his	or her share of the work?	
do the work	1	2	3	4	5	more than what was expected	
Use of Time. Did schedule?	l the tean	n member	make me	eetings or	n time and c	omplete assignments on	
late or absent; work incomplete	1	2	3	4	5	prompt; dependably on time	
group confidence		am memb	er contrib	oute by at	titude and a	ction to team morale and	
negative; destructive of group identity	1	2	3	4	5	positive; morale building feel good working with him/her	
General							
• Of all the team, he not effective; contribution not	ow effect	tive was t	his memt	er? How	v valuable w	as his/her contribution? very effective; contribution	
very valuable	1	2	3	4	5	extremely valuable	
□ If you were an em	ployer, v	would you	ı hire this	individu	al for a desi	gn team?	
no	1	2	3	4	5	yes	
 Hypothetically, if should this memb 			l win som	e moneta	ry award to	go to members, what share	
Much smaller than avg	1	2	3	4	_ Much larger 5	than avg.	
What constructiv	ve comm	ents sho	uld be ma	ade to thi	is team mei	nber regarding behavior	

that contributed to his/her strengths and weaknesses as a leader? (Use the other side)

Page 22 of 31